arXiv:1403.7884v1 [math.PR] 31 Mar 2014

EXACT EXPONENTIAL TAIL ESTIMATIONS IN THE LAW OF ITERATED LOGARITHM FOR BOCHNER'S MIXED LEBESGUE SPACES

E.Ostrovsky[a], **L.Sirota**[b]

[a] Corresponding Author. Department of Mathematics and computer science, Bar-Ilan University, 84105, Ramat Gan, Israel.

E - mail: galo@list.ru eugostrovsky@list.ru

[b] Department of Mathematics and computer science. Bar-Ilan University, 84105, Ramat Gan, Israel.
E - mail: sirota3@bezeqint.net

Abstract.

We obtain the quite exact exponential bounds for tails of distributions of sums of Banach space valued random variables uniformly over the number of summands under natural for the Law of Iterated Logarithm (LIL) norming.

We study especially the case of the so-called mixed (anisotropic) Lebesgue-Riesz spaces, on the other words, Bochner's spaces, for instance, continuous-Lebesgue spaces, which appear for example in the investigation of non-linear Partial Differential Equations of evolutionary type.

We give also some examples in order to show the exactness of our estimates.

Key words and phrases: Law of Iterated Logarithm (LIL), Central Limit Theorem (CLT), mixed (anisotropic) Lebesgue-Riesz spaces, norms, continuous-Lebesgue spaces, partition, Hölder's inequality, examples, Rosenthal constants and inequalities, exponential upper tail estimates, triangle (Minkowsky) inequality, estimates, moments, stationary sequences, superstrong mixingale, martingale.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37B30, 33K55; Secondary 34A34, 65M20, 42B25.

1 Notations. Statement of problem.

1. Let $(B, ||\cdot||B)$ be separable non - trivial: $\dim(B) \geq 1$ Banach space and $\{\xi_j\}, \xi = \xi_1,\ j = 1, 2, \ldots$ be a sequence of centered in the weak sense: $\mathbf{E}(\xi_i, b) = 0\ \forall b \in B^*$ of independent identical distributed (i. i.d.) random variables (r.v.) (or equally random vectors, with at the same abbreviation r.v.) defined on some non-trivial probability space $(\Omega = \{\omega\}, F, \mathbf{P})$ with values in the space B. Denote

$$S(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_j, \ n = 1, 2, \ldots . \tag{1.1}$$

We suppose also that the r.v. ξ has a weak second moment:

$$\forall b \in B^* \ \Rightarrow (Rb, b) := \mathbf{E}(\xi, b)^2 < \infty.$$

Let also $v = v(n)$ be arbitrary non-random positive strictly increasing numerical sequence such that

$$v(1) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} v(n) = \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

for instance,

$$v_r(n) := [\log(\log(n + e^e - 1) \ )]^r \ , \ r = \mathrm{const} \geq 1/2. \tag{1.3}$$

We set

$$\tau(n) = \frac{S(n)}{\sqrt{n} \ v(n)}, \quad \tau_r(n) = \frac{S(n)}{\sqrt{n} \ v_r(n)}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$Q(u) = Q^{(\xi)}(u) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{P}(\sup_n ||\tau(n)|| > u), \tag{1.5}$$

$$Q_r(u) = Q_r^{(\xi)}(u) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{P}(\sup_n ||\tau_r(n)|| > u), \ u = \mathrm{const} \geq e. \tag{1.6}$$

Our purpose in this article is obtaining some sufficient conditions for exponential decreasing as $u \to \infty$ of the probabilities $Q(u), \ Q_r(u)$, for example, of a view

$$Q(u) \leq \exp\left(-C u^{\beta_1} \ \log^{\beta_2}(u)\right), \ u > e, \ C, \beta_{1,2} = \mathrm{const}, \ C, \beta_1 > 0. \tag{1.7}$$

2. The problem of describing of necessary (sufficient) conditions for the infinite - dimensional LIL and closely related CLT in Banach space B has a long history; see, for instance, the monographs [22] - [31] and articles [33] - [47] (CLT); [56] - [62] (LIL); see also reference therein.

The case when $B = C(T)$, where T is metrizable compact set, is considered in fact in [62], see also [31], chapter 2, section 2.7.

The applications of considered theorem in statistics and method Monte-Carlo see, e.g. in [48] - [52].

3. The *lower bound* for the probability $Q(u)$ is very simple: as long as $v(1) = 1$,

$$Q(u) \geq \mathbf{P}(\tau(1) > u) = \mathbf{P}(||\xi|| > u). \tag{1.8}$$

Therefore, if we want to establish the inequality (1.7), we must adopt the condition

$$\mathbf{P}(||\xi|| > u) \leq \exp\left(-C u^{\beta_1} \ \log^{\beta_2}(u)\right), \ u > e, \ C, \beta_{1,2} = \mathrm{const}, \ C, \beta_1 > 0. \tag{1.9}$$

4. We recall here the definition and some important properties of the so-called anisotropic Lebesgue (Lebesgue-Riesz) spaces, which appeared in the famous article of Benedek A. and Panzone R. [2]. More detail information about this spaces with described applications see in the books of Besov O.V., Ilin V.P., Nikolskii S.M. [3], chapter 1,2; Leoni G. [11], chapter 11; [12], chapter 6.

Let $(X_k, A_k, \mu_k),\ k = 1, 2, \ldots, l$ be measurable spaces with sigma-finite *separable* non - trivial measures μ_k. The separability denotes that the metric space A_k relative the distance

$$\rho_k(D_1, D_2) = \mu_k(D_1 \Delta D_2) = \mu_k(D_1 \setminus D_2) + \mu_k(D_2 \setminus D_1) \tag{1.10}$$

is separable.

Let also $p = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_l)$ be $l-$ dimensional vector such that $1 \le p_j < \infty$.

Recall that the anisotropic (mixed) Lebesgue - Riesz space $L_{\vec{p}}$ consists on all the total measurable real valued function $f = f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_l) = f(\vec{x})$

$$f : \otimes_{k=1}^{l} X_k \to R$$

with finite norm $|f|_{\vec{p}} \stackrel{def}{=}$

$$\left(\int_{X_l} \mu_l(dx_l) \left(\int_{X_{l-1}} \mu_{l-1}(dx_{l-1}) \ldots \left(\int_{X_1} |f(\vec{x})|^{p_1} \mu(dx_1) \right)^{p_2/p_1} \right)^{p_3/p_2} \ldots \right)^{1/p_l}. \tag{1.11}$$

In particular, for the r.v. ξ

$$|\xi|_p = [\mathbf{E}|\xi|^p]^{1/p},\ p \ge 1.$$

Note that in general case $|f|_{p_1,p_2} \ne |f|_{p_2,p_1}$, but $|f|_{p,p} = |f|_p$.

Observe also that if $f(x_1, x_2) = g_1(x_1) \cdot g_2(x_2)$ (condition of factorization), then $|f|_{p_1,p_2} = |g_1|_{p_1} \cdot |g_2|_{p_2}$, (formula of factorization).

Note that under conditions of separability (1.6) on the measures $\{\mu_k\}$ this spaces are also separable Banach spaces.

These spaces arise in the Theory of Approximation, Functional Analysis, theory of Partial Differential Equations, theory of Random Processes etc.

5. Let for example $l = 2$; we agree to rewrite for clarity the expression for $|f|_{p_1,p_2}$ as follows:

$$|f|_{p_1,p_2} := |f|_{p_1,X_1;p_2,X_2}.$$

Analogously,

$$|f|_{p_1,p_2,p_3} = |f|_{p_1,X_1;p_2,X_2;p_3,X_3}. \tag{1.12}$$

Let us give an example. Let $\eta = \eta(x, \omega)$ be bi-measurable random field, $(X = \{x\}, A, \mu)$ be measurable space, $p = \mathrm{const} \in [1, \infty)$. As long as the expectation $\mathbf{E}$ is also an integral, we deduce

$$\mathbf{E}|\eta(\cdot, \cdot)|_{p,X}^p = \mathbf{E} \int_X |\eta(x, \cdot)|^p \ \mu(dx) =$$

$$\int_X \mathbf{E}|\eta(x,\cdot)|^p \, \mu(dx) = \int_X \mu(dx) \left[\int_\Omega |\eta(x,\omega)|^p \, \mathbf{P}(d\omega) \right];$$

$$| \, |\eta|_{p,X} \, |_{p,\Omega} = \left\{ \int_X \mu(dx) \left[\int_\Omega |\eta(x,\omega)|^p \, \mathbf{P}(d\omega) \right] \right\}^{1/p} = | \, \eta(\cdot,\cdot) \, |_{1,X;p,\Omega}. \tag{1.13}$$

6. Constants of Rosenthal-Dharmadhikari-Jogdeo- ...

Let $p = \mathrm{const} \ge 1, \quad \{\zeta_k\}$ be a sequence of numerical centered, i. i.d. r.v. with finite p^{th} moment $|\zeta|_p < \infty$. The following constant, more precisely, function on p, is called constants of Rosenthal-Dharmadhikari-Jogdeo-Johnson-Schechtman-Zinn-Latala-Ibragimov-Pinelis-Sharachmedov-Talagrand-Utev...:

$$K_R(p) \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{n \ge 1} \sup_{\{\zeta_k\}} \left[\frac{|n^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^n \zeta_k|_p}{|\zeta_1|_p} \right]. \tag{1.14}$$

We will use the following ultimate up to an error value $0.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ for the constant C_R estimate for $K_R(p)$, see [18] and reference therein:

$$K_R(p) \le \frac{C_R \, p}{e \cdot \log p}, \quad C_R = \mathrm{const} := 1.77638. \tag{1.15}$$

Note that for the symmetrical distributed r.v. ζ_k the constant C_R may be reduced up to a value 1.53572.

7. Suppose the sequence $\{\xi_i\}$ satisfies the LIL in the classical statement under our norming function:

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \frac{||S(n)||}{\sqrt{n} \, v(n)} =: \zeta = \zeta(\omega),$$

where $\mathbf{P}(0 < \zeta < \infty) = 1$ and wherein the r.v. ζ may be non-random constant. Then

$$\sup_n \frac{||S(n)||}{\sqrt{n} \, v(n)} < \infty$$

almost everywhere and following

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} Q(u) = 0.$$

8. The natural norming sequence in Banach space valued LIL may be essentially greatest as the classical sequence $v_{1/2}(n) = \sqrt{n} \, (\log(\log(n + e^e - 1))^{1/2}$. Namely, in the article [59] there is (for any number value $r > 1/2$) an example of a separable Banach space $(B, \, ||\cdot||)$ and a sequence of i.i.d. centered r.v. $\{\xi_i\}$ with finite weak second moment such that

$$0 < \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \left[\frac{||S(n)||}{\sqrt{n} \, (\log(\log(n + e^e - 1))^r)} \right] < \infty \tag{1.16}$$

almost surely.

2 Main result: exponential estimates for LIL in ordinary Lebesgue - Riesz spaces.

We study in this section the exponential tail estimates for the normed sums of centered, i.i.d. r.v. in the separable Banach space $L_p,\ 2 \le p < \infty$, having the weak second moment.

In detail, $\xi(x) = \xi(x,\omega)$ be bi - measurable centered random field, $(X = \{x\}, A, \mu)$ be measurable space with separable sigma - finite measure μ, and $\{\xi_k(x,\omega)\} = \{\xi_k(x)\}$ be independent copies of $\xi = \xi(x) := \xi(x,\omega)$.

So, in this section

$$||\xi|| = ||\xi(\cdot,\cdot)|| = |\xi|_p = \sqrt[p]{\int_X |\xi(x)|^p \mu(dx)} = |\xi|_{p,X}. \tag{2.0}$$

Some additional notations. $m = \mathrm{const} \ge 1,\ L = \mathrm{const} = pm \ge p,$

$$\Sigma_n(x) := n^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_k(x) = n^{-1/2} S(n;x), \tag{2.1}$$

$$g(L) = g_p(L) = 2\ K_R(L) \cdot \sqrt[p]{\left[\int_X \mathbf{E}|\xi(x)|^L\ \mu(dx)\right]^{p/L}}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$L_0 = L_0(p) = \sup\{L:\ g_p(L) < \infty\}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$h(z) = h_p(z) := \inf_{L \in (p, L_0)} \left\{ \frac{g_p^L(L)}{z^L} \right\},\ z > 1. \tag{2.4}$$

We clarify the role of a function $h_p = h_p(z)$: if for the real valued r.v. ζ

$$\left[\mathbf{E}|\zeta|^L\right]^{1/L} \le g(L),$$

then it follows from Tchebychev-Markov inequality after optimisation over L :

$$\mathbf{P}(|\zeta| > z) \le h(z). \tag{2.5}$$

It will be presumed hereinafter $L_0 > p$; may be $L_0 = \infty$; otherwise our propositions are trivial.

Further, let $Z_+ = (1, 2, \ldots)$ be positive integer semi - axis; we introduce as ordinary a partition Δ of the set Z_+ :

$$\Delta = \{\Delta(k)\},\ k = 1, 2, \ldots;\ \Delta(k) = Z_+ \cap [A(k),\ A(k+1)),\ A(1) = 1,$$

$$A(k+1) \ge A(k) + 2,\ \lim_{k \to \infty} A(k) = \infty,$$

so that $Z_+ = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta(k)$.

Let $w = \mathrm{const} > 1$; we will say that the partition $\Delta = \{\Delta(k)\}$ belongs to the class $Y(w)$, iff

$$\inf_k \left[\frac{A(k+1)-1}{A(k)} \right] \geq w^2. \tag{2.6}$$

For instance, $A(k) = d^k - d + 1$ for some fixed $d = 2, 3, \ldots$.

Let at last the partition Δ be from the set $Y(w),\ w > 1$ be a given. *Define an important function*

$$G(u) = G_\xi(\Delta, p, v; u) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_p(u\ v(A(k))/w), \tag{2.7}$$

if of course $G(u)$ there exists and $\lim_{u \to \infty} G(u) = 0$.

Theorem 2.1. Main result.

$$Q^{(\xi)}(u) \leq G_\xi(\Delta, p, v; u),\ u \geq e. \tag{2.8}$$

As a slight consequence:

$$Q^{(\xi)}(u) \leq \inf_{w>1} \inf_{\Delta \in Y(w)} G_\xi(\Delta, p, v; u),\ u \geq e. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof used standard arguments for investigation of LIL in the (separable) Banach space.

Step 1. Lemma 2.1. *Let $m = \mathrm{const} \geq 1$ be number, not necessary to be integer, for which*

$$\mathbf{E}|\xi(\cdot)|_{pm,X}^{pm} < \infty. \tag{2.10}$$

or equally

$$|\xi(\cdot)\ |_{p,X;mp,\Omega} < \infty. \tag{2.10a}$$

or equally

$$\mathbf{E} \int_X |\xi(x)|^{pm}\ \mu(dx) = \int_X \mathbf{E}|\xi(x)|^{pm}\ \mu(dx) < \infty. \tag{2.10b}$$

Then

$$\sup_n \mathbf{E}|\xi(\cdot)|_{p,X}^{pm} \leq \left[\int_X [\mathbf{E}|\xi(x)|^{pm}]^{1/m}\ \mu(dx) \right]^m, \tag{2.11}$$

or equally

$$|\xi|_{p,X;mp,\Omega} \leq\ |\xi|_{pm,\Omega;p,X}. \tag{2.11a}.$$

Recall that $pm = L$.

Proof of Lemma 2.1.

Denote $\eta(x) = |\xi(x)|^p$. Note that the space $L_m(\Omega)$ is a Banach space; and we can apply the generalized triangle (Minkowsky) inequality:

$$\left[\mathbf{E}\left(\int_X |\xi(x)|^p \ \mu(dx)\right)^m\right]^{1/m} =$$

$$\left[\mathbf{E}\left(\int_X \eta(x) \ \mu(dx)\right)^m\right]^{1/m} = \left| \int_X \eta(x) \ \mu(dx) \ \right|_{m,\Omega} \le$$

$$\int_X |\eta(x)|_{m,\Omega} \ \mu(dx) = \int_X \sqrt[m]{\mathbf{E}\eta^m(x)} \ \mu(dx) = \int_X \sqrt[m]{\mathbf{E}|\xi|^{pm}(x)} \ \mu(dx), \tag{2.12}$$

which is equivalent to the assertions (2.11) - (2.11a).

We used theorem of Fubini-Tonelli.

Step 2. We apply the assertion of Lemma 2.1. to the random field $\Sigma_n(x)$ instead $\xi(x)$:

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\int_X |\Sigma_n(x)|^p \ \mu(dx)\right]^m \le \left\{\int_X [\mathbf{E}|\Sigma_n(x)|^{pm} \ ]^{1/m} \mu(dx)\right\}^m. \tag{2.13}$$

We obtain by means of Rosenthal's inequality (recall that we consider here the case only when $p \ge 2$:)

$$\mathbf{E}|\Sigma_n(x)|^{pm} \le K_R^{pm}(pm) \ \mathbf{E}|\xi(x)|^{pm} = K_R^{pm}(pm) \cdot |\xi(x)|_{pm,\Omega}^{pm}.$$

It remains to substitute into (2.13):

$$\sup_n \mathbf{E}|\Sigma_n(\cdot)|_{p,X}^{pm} \le K_R^{pm}(pm) \ \left[\int_X [\mathbf{E}|\xi(x)|^{pm}]^{1/m} \ \mu(dx)\right]^m, \tag{2.14}$$

or equally

$$\sup_n |\Sigma_n|_{p,X;mp,\Omega} \le K_R(pm) \ |\xi|_{pm,\Omega;p,X}. \tag{2.14a).}$$

Step 3. Denote

$$||\Sigma_n||^* = \max_{k=1,2,\ldots,n} ||\Sigma_k||. \tag{2.15}$$

Since the sequence $\{\Sigma_n\}$ under natural filtration is a (Banach value) martingale, we can use the Doob's inequality; the Banach space valued martingale version is done by G.Pisier [40], [41]:

$$| \ ||\Sigma_n||^* \ |_{L,\Omega} \le \frac{L}{L-1} \cdot | \ ||\Sigma_n|| \ |_{L,\Omega} \le 2 \cdot | \ ||\Sigma_n|| \ |_{L,\Omega}, \tag{2.16),}$$

since $L \ge 2$.

Step 4. Now everything is ready for the final stage. Actually, let Δ be any partition from the class $Y(w)$. We get consequently:

$$Q(u) = \mathbf{P}\left(\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \max_{A(k) \le n < A(k+1)} \frac{||S(n)||}{\sqrt{n}\ v(n)} > u \right) \le$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\max_{A(k) \le n < A(k+1)} \frac{||S(n)||}{\sqrt{n}\ v(n)} > u \right) \le$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\max_{A(k) \le n < A(k+1)} ||S(n)|| > u\ \sqrt{A(k)}\ v(A(k)) \right) \le$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\max_{A(k) \le n < A(k+1)} ||\Sigma_n|| > u\ \sqrt{A(k)/A(k+1)}\ v(A(k)) \right) \le$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\max_{A(k) \le n < A(k+1)} ||\Sigma_n|| > u\ v(A(k))/w \right) \le$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(||\Sigma_{A(k+1)-1}||^* > 0.5\ u\ v(A(k))/w \right) \le$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_p(u\ v(A(k))/w) = G_{\xi}(\Delta, p, v; u), \tag{2.17}$$

we used the inequality (2.5).

This completes the proof of theorem 2.1.

Examples 2.1.

Suppose

$$\mathbf{P}\left(|\xi|_{p,X} > z \right) \le e^{-z^{\beta}},\ z > 0,\ \beta = \mathrm{const} > 0;$$

then of course

$$\forall m > 0\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{E}|\xi|_{p,X}^{pm} < \infty.$$

The case $\beta = \infty$ implies the boundedness of a r.v. $|\xi|_p$:

$$\operatorname*{vraisup}_{\omega \in \Omega} |\xi|_{p,X} < \infty.$$

Denote

$$r_0 = r_0(\beta) = \frac{\beta + 1}{\beta},\ r_0(\infty) = 1.$$

We deduce after simple calculations:

$$Q_{r_0}(u) \le \exp\left(-C(\beta, p)\ u^{\beta/(\beta+1)} \right), C(\beta, p) > 0.$$

In particular, if $\beta = \infty$, then

$$Q_1(u) \le \exp\left(-C\ u \right).$$

More generally, if

$$\mathbf{P}\left(|\xi|_{p,X} > z\right) \le e^{-z^{\beta_1}\ (\log z)^{-\beta_2}},\ \beta_1 = \mathrm{const} > 0,\ \beta_2 = \mathrm{const},\ z \ge e,$$

then for some positive value $C_3 = C_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, p)$ and $u > e$

$$Q_{r_0}(u) \le \exp\left(-C_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, p)\ u^{\beta_1/(\beta_1+1)}\ (\log u)^{(-\beta_2-\beta_1(\beta_1-1))/(\beta_1+1)}\right).$$

We used some estimations from the monograph [31], chapter 2, section 3, p. 55 - 57; where are obtained, in particular, the exponential tail estimates for random variables via its moment estimates.

3 Exponential bounds for LIL in Mixed Lebesgue - Riesz spaces.

Let us return to the CLT in the space $L_{\vec{p}},\ \vec{p} = \{p_k\}, k = 1, , 2, \ldots, l,\ l \ge 2$; where $1 \le p_k < \infty$, described below.

Define

$$\overline{p} := \max(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_l).$$

and suppose everywhere further

$$\overline{p} \ge 2. \tag{3.0}$$

Some additional notations. As in last section, $m = \mathrm{const} \ge 1,\ L = \mathrm{const} = \overline{p}\ m \ge \overline{p}$,

$$\Sigma_n(x) := n^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_k(x) = n^{-1/2} S(n; x), \tag{3.1}$$

$$\Gamma(L) = \Gamma_{\vec{p}}(L) = 2\ K_R(L) \cdot \sqrt[\overline{p}]{\left[\int_X \mathbf{E}|\xi(x)|^L\ \mu(dx)\right]^{\overline{p}/L}}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$L_0 = L_0(\vec{p}) = \sup\{L :\ \Gamma_p(L) < \infty\}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\gamma(z) = \gamma_{\vec{p}}(z) := \inf_{L \in (\overline{p}, L_0)} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma^L_{\overline{p}}(L)}{z^L} \right\},\ z > 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Let the partition Δ be from the set $Y(w),\ w > 1$ be a given. *Define again an important function*

$$F(u) = F_\xi(\Delta, \vec{p}, v; u) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{\vec{p}}(u\ v(A(k))/w), \tag{3.5}$$

if of course $F(u)$ there exists and $\lim_{u\to\infty} F(u) = 0$.

Theorem 3.1.

$$Q^{(\xi)}(u) \le F_\xi(\Delta, \vec{p}, v; u), \ u \ge e. \tag{3.6}$$

As a slight consequence:

$$Q^{(\xi)}(u) \le \inf_{w>1} \inf_{\Delta \in Y(w)} F_\xi(\Delta, \vec{p}, v; u), \ u \ge e. \tag{3.7}$$

We preface the following auxiliary proposition which has by our opinion of independent interest.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $m = \mathrm{const} \ge 1$ be (not necessary to be integer) number for which*

$$\left[\mathbf{E}|\xi(\vec{x})|^{m\ \overline{p}}\right]^{1/(m\ \overline{p})} \in L_{\vec{p}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Then

$$\sup_n \left[\mathbf{E}|\Sigma_n(\cdot)|_{\vec{p}}^{m\ \overline{p}}\right]^{1/(m\ \overline{p})} \le K_R(\overline{p}\ m) \times \left|\left[\mathbf{E}|\xi(\vec{x})|^{m\ \overline{p}}\right]^{1/(m\overline{p})}\right|_{\vec{p}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof of theorem 3.2.

1. Auxiliary fact: permutation inequality.

We will use the so-called *permutation inequality* in the terminology of an article [1]; see also [3], chapter 1, p. 24 - 26. Indeed, let (Z, B, ν) be another measurable space and $\phi : (\vec{X}, Z) = \vec{X} \otimes Z \to R$ be measurable function. In what follows $\vec{X} = \otimes_k X_k$. Let also $r = \mathrm{const} \ge \overline{p}$. It is true the following inequality (in our notations):

$$|\phi|_{\vec{p},\vec{X};r,Z} \le |\phi|_{r,Z;\vec{p},\vec{X}}. \tag{3.10}$$

In what follows $Z = \Omega, \ \nu = \mathbf{P}$.

2. Auxiliary inequality.

It follows from permutation inequality (3.10) that

$$\sqrt[m\overline{p}]{\mathbf{E}|\xi|_{\vec{p}}^{m\overline{p}}} \le \left| \sqrt[m\overline{p}]{\mathbf{E}|\xi|^{m\overline{p}}} \right|_{\vec{p}}, \ m = \mathrm{const} \ge 1. \tag{3.11}$$

3. We deduce applying the inequality (3.11) for the random field $\Sigma_n(x,t)$ and using the Rosenthal's inequality:

$$\sqrt[m\overline{p}]{\mathbf{E}|\Sigma_n|_{\vec{p}}^{m\overline{p}}} \le K_R(m\ \overline{p}) \cdot \left| \sqrt[m\overline{p}]{\mathbf{E}|\xi|^{m\overline{p}}} \right|_{\vec{p}}, \ m = \mathrm{const} \ge 1, \tag{3.12}$$

which is equivalent to the assertion of theorem 3.2.

Proof of theorem 3.1 is is now completely analogous to ones in theorem 2.1 and may be omitted.

Remark 3.1. The examples on the acting of theorems 3.1 and 3.2 may be considered at the same as in second section with replacement the power p on the power $\overline{p}$.

4 LIL in continuous - Lebesgue spaces.

0. Definition of continuous-Lebesgue (Lebesgue-Riesz) space $CL(p) = C(T, L_p(X)), p \geq 1$.

Let (X, A, μ) be again measurable space with sigma - finite separable measure μ, $T = \{t\}$ be metrizable compact set.

We will say that the (measurable) function of two variables $f = f(x,t),\ x \in X,\ t \in T$ belongs to the space $CL(p) = C(T, L_p(X))$, where $p = \mathrm{const} \geq 1$, if the map $t \to f(\cdot, t),\ t \in T$ is continuous in the $C(T)$ sense:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \sup_{d(t,s)<\epsilon} \left[\int_X |f(x,t) - f(x,s)|^p \ \mu(dx) \right]^{1/p} = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

The norm of the function $f(\cdot,\cdot)$ in this space is defined as follows:

$$||f(\cdot,\cdot)||C(T, L_p(X)) = ||f(\cdot,\cdot)||CL(p) = \sup_{t \in T} |f(t,\cdot)|_p. \tag{4.2}$$

These spaces are complete separable Banach function spaces. The detail investigation of these spaces see, e.g. in a monograph [8], p. 113 - 119.

They are used, for instance, in the theory of non-linear evolution Partial Differential Equations, see [5], [6], [7], [9], [10], [21].

1. Additional construction and conditions.

Assume in addition that $p \geq 2$ *and that the r.f.* $\xi(x,t)$, *and with it the r.f.* $\xi_i(x,t)$ *are mean zero:* $\mathbf{E}\xi_i(x,t) = 0$, and denote

$$\Sigma_n(x,t) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(x,t),\ S_n(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(x,t) = n^{1/2} \Sigma_n(x,t), \tag{4.3}$$

$$\tau_p^{(n)}(t) = \int_X |\Sigma_n(x,t)|^p \ \mu(dx) = |\Sigma_n(\cdot,t)|_{p,X}^p. \tag{4.4}$$

We intend as before to estimate uniformly over numbers of summand n first of all in this section the moments of the random variable

$$\zeta_p^{(n)} = \zeta_p := \sup_{t \in T} \tau_p^{(n)}(t), \tag{4.5}$$

i.e. the values

$$\delta_p(Z) = \sup_n \left| \sup_{t \in T} \tau_p^{(n)}(t) \right|_{Z,\Omega}.$$

Note that

$$[\delta_p(Z)]^{1/p} = \sup_n |\Sigma_n(\cdot,\cdot)|_{p,X;\infty,T;Z,\Omega}.$$

Some new notations: $\rho_{v,x}(t,s) :=$

$$| \xi(x,t) - \xi(x,s) |_{v,\Omega} = \left\{ [\mathbf{E}|\xi(x,t) - \xi(x,s)|]^v \right\}^{1/v}, \ v = \mathrm{const} \ge 1;$$

$$W_\gamma(x) = \sup_{t \in T} | \xi(x,t) |_{\gamma,\Omega} = \sup_{t \in T} \left\{ \mathbf{E}|\xi(x,t)|^\gamma \right\}^{1/\gamma}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$J(t,s;p,Z;\alpha,\beta) = \int_X W_{(p-1)\beta Z}^{p-1}(x) \ \rho_{\alpha Z,x}(t,s) \ \mu(dx), \ \alpha,\beta > 1, 1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1;$$

$$r_{p,Z}(t,s) = 2 \ p \ \inf_{\alpha,\beta} \left[K_R(\alpha Z) \ K_R^{p-1}((p-1)\beta Z) \ J(t,s;p,Z;\alpha,\beta) \right]. \tag{4.7}$$

Evidently, $r_{p,Z}(t,s)$ is the distance as the function on (t,s), if it is finite. The minimum in the right - hand side (4.7) is calculated over all the values (α,β) for which $\alpha,\beta > 1, \ 1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1$.

Denote also for arbitrary set T equipped with distance $d = d(t,s)$ by $N(T,d,\epsilon)$ the so-called *covering number:* the minimal number of closed balls of a radii $\epsilon > 0$ in the distance d covering the set T. Obviously, $\forall \epsilon > 0 \ N(T,d,\epsilon) < \infty$ if and only if the set T is precompact set relative the distance d.

Further, define

$$\overline{\sigma}_{p,Z} \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{t \in T} \int_X \left[\mathbf{E}|\xi(x,t)|^{pZ} \right]^{1/Z} \ \mu(dx), \ \hat{\sigma}_{p,Z} := K_R^p(p \ Z) \ \overline{\sigma}_{p,Z}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\hat{r}_{p,Z}(t,s) := r_{p,Z}(t,s)/\hat{\sigma}_{p,Z}, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\nu_p^p(Z) \stackrel{def}{=} \hat{\sigma}_{p,Z} \cdot \inf_{\theta \in (0,1)} \left[\sum_{k=1}^\infty \theta^{k-1} N^{1/Z} \left(T, \hat{r}_{p,Z}, (\theta \ \hat{\sigma}_{p,Z})^k \right) \right]. \tag{4.10}$$

2. Theorem 4.1. *If for some* $Z = \mathrm{const} \ge 1 \ \Rightarrow \nu_p(Z) < \infty$, *then*

$$\sup_n \left\{ \mathbf{E}|\Sigma_n(\cdot,\cdot)|_{p,\infty}^{pZ} \right\}^{1/pZ} \le \nu_p(Z). \tag{4.11}$$

Proof of theorem 4.1.

A. We need first of all to obtain the estimate (4.4). We have using the Rosenthal's constants and the Minkowsky inequality:

$$| \tau_p^{(n)}(t) |_{Z,\Omega} = \Big| \int_X |\Sigma_n(x,t)|^p \ \mu(dx) \Big|_{Z,\Omega} \le$$

$$\int_X | \ |\Sigma_n(x,t)|^p \ |_{Z,\Omega} \ \mu(dx) \le \int_X K_R^p(p \ Z) \ | \ |\xi(x,t)|^p \ |_{Z,\Omega} \ \mu(dx) \le$$

$$K_R^p(p \ Z) \ \overline{\sigma}_{p,Z} = \hat{\sigma}_{p,Z}. \tag{4.12}$$

B. The estimation of a difference

$$\Delta\tau(t,s) = \tau_p^{(n)}(t) - \tau_p^{(n)}(s)$$

is more complicated. We have consequently:

$$\Delta\tau = \int_X [|\Sigma_n(x,t)|^p - |\Sigma_n(x,s)|^p] \ \mu(dx),$$

$$|\Delta\tau|_{Z,\Omega} \le \int_X | \ |\Sigma_n(x,t)|^p - |\Sigma_n(x,s)|^p \ |_{Z,\Omega} \ \mu(dx) =$$

$$\int_X \Big[\mathbf{E} \, | \ |\Sigma_n(x,t)|^p - |\Sigma_n(x,s)|^p \ |^Z \Big]^{1/Z} \ \mu(dx). \tag{4.13}$$

We exploit the following elementary inequality:

$$| \ |x|^p - |y|^p \ | \le p \cdot |x-y| \cdot \Big[|x|^{p-1} + |y|^{p-1} \Big], \ x,y \in R, \tag{4.14}$$

and obtain after substituting into (4.12), where $x = \Sigma_n(x,t), \ y = \Sigma_n(x,s)$: $|\Delta\tau|_{Z,\Omega}/p \le$

$$\int_X \Big| \ |\Sigma_n(x,t) - \Sigma_n(x,s)| \ \cdot \ \Big[\ |\Sigma_n(x,t)|^{p-1} + |\Sigma_n(x,s)|^{p-1} \Big] \ \Big|_{Z,\Omega} \ \mu(dx). \tag{4.15}$$

It follows from the Hölder's inequality

$$|\eta_1 \eta_2|_{Z,\Omega} \le |\eta_1|_{\alpha Z,\Omega} \cdot |\eta_2|_{\beta Z,\Omega},$$

where as before $\alpha, \beta > 1, \ 1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1$. Therefore

$$|\Delta\tau|_{Z,\Omega}/p \le \int_X \delta_1(t,s;\alpha,x) \cdot \delta_2(\beta,x) \ \mu(dx),$$

where

$$\delta_1(t,s;\alpha,x) = \delta_1(t,s;\alpha,x;\Omega) = |\Sigma_n(x,t) - \Sigma_n(x,s)|_{\alpha Z;\Omega}, \tag{4.16}$$

and

$$\delta_2(\beta,x) = \delta_2(\beta,x;p,\Omega) = \sup_{t,s \in T} \Big| \ \Big[|\Sigma_n(x,t)|^{p-1} + |\Sigma_n(x,s)|^{p-1} \Big] \ \Big|_{\beta Z,\Omega}. \tag{4.17}$$

We estimate $\delta_1(\cdot)$ using the Rosenthal's inequality:

$$\delta_1(t,s;\alpha,x;\Omega) \le K_R(\alpha Z)\ |\xi(x,s)-\xi(x,s)|_{\alpha Z} = \rho_{\alpha Z,x}(t,s). \tag{4.18}$$

Further,

$$\delta_2(\beta,x;p,\Omega) \le 2\ K_R^{p-1}(\beta(p-1)) \sup_{t\in T} |\xi(x,t)|^{p-1}_{\beta(p-1),\Omega} =$$

$$2\ K_R^{p-1}(\beta(p-1))\ W^{p-1}_{\beta(p-1)}(x). \tag{4.19}$$

We get after substituting into (4.16) and (4.17)

$$|\Delta\tau|_{Z,\Omega} \le r_{p,Z}(t,s). \tag{4.20}$$

C. The proposition of theorem 4.1. follows from the main result of article G.Pisier [40]; see also [20], [63], [64].

Remark 4.1. Perhaps, it may be used in this section the so - called method of "majorizing measures", or equally "generic chaining"; see e.g. [28], [53], [54], [55], [23], [24], [19], [20].

But, by our opinion, the offered here way is more convenient for declared aims.

3. We are ready now to formulate the main result of this section. Some new notations:

$$L_0 = L_0(\vec{p}) = \sup\{L:\ \nu_p(L/p) < \infty\}, \tag{4.21}$$

$$\zeta(z) = \zeta_{\vec{p}}(z) := \inf_{L\in(p,L_0)} \left\{ \frac{\nu_p^L(L/p)}{z^L} \right\},\ z > 1. \tag{4.22}$$

Let the partition Δ from the set $Y(w),\ w > 1$ be a given. *Define again an important function*

$$\Theta(u) = \Theta_\xi(\Delta,\vec{p},v;u) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta_{\vec{p}}(u\ v(A(k))/w), \tag{4.23}$$

if of course $\Theta(u)$ there exists and $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Theta(u) = 0$.

Theorem 4.2.

$$Q^{(\xi)}(u) \le \Theta_\xi(\Delta,\vec{p},v;u),\ u \ge e. \tag{4.24}$$

As a slight consequence:

$$Q^{(\xi)}(u) \le \inf_{w>1}\ \inf_{\Delta\in Y(w)}\ \Theta_\xi(\Delta,\vec{p},v;u),\ u \ge e. \tag{4.25}$$

The **proof** is at the same as before.

Example 4.1. Let T be in addition closure of bounded set in the Euclidean space $R^d,\ d = 1,2,\ldots$ with ordinary distance $||t-s||$. Assume that

$$\rho_{v,x}(t,s) \le B_v(x) \ ||t-s||^l, \ 0 < l = \mathrm{const} \le 1, \tag{4.26}$$

where

$$\int_X W_{2(p-1)Z}^{p-1}(x) \ B_{2Z}(x) \ \mu(dx) \le C(p) \ Z^b, \ b = \mathrm{const} \le 1, \ Z > 2d/l; \tag{4.27}$$

we put $\alpha = \beta = 1$. Therefore

$$J(t,s;p,Z;2,2) \le C_1(p) \ ||t-s||^l \ Z^b.$$

Further, suppose

$$\overline{\sigma}_{p,Z} \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{t \in T} \int_X \left[\mathbf{E} |\xi(x,t)|^{pZ} \right]^{1/Z} \ \mu(dx) \asymp C_2(p) \ Z^b, \ Z > 2d/l, C_2(p) \in (0,\infty). \tag{4.28}$$

We deduce after simple calculations

$$Q^{(\xi)}(u) \le C_3(p) \exp\left(-C_4(p) \ u^b \right), \ u \ge 1. \tag{4.29}$$

5 Concluding remarks.

A. Lower estimates.

We give a lower slightly less trivial as in (1.8) estimate for $Q_{1/2}(u)$. Namely, for all the non - trivial distribution ξ

$$Q_{1/2}(u) \ge \max\left(\mathbf{P}(||\xi|| > u), \ \exp\left(-Cu^2 \log\log u \right) \right). \ u > e^e.$$

It is sufficient to note that this estimate is true in the one-dimensional case; see [62], [31], chapter 2, section 2.6.

B. LIL in mixed Sobolev's spaces.

The method presented here may be used by investigation of the Law of Iterated Logarithm as well as Central Limit Theorem in the so-called *mixed Sobolev's spaces* $W_{\vec{p}}^A$, see e.g. [61], [63].

In detail, let $(Y_k, B_k, \zeta_k), \ l = 1,2,\ldots,l$ be again measurable spaces with separable sigma - finite measures ζ_k. Let A be closed unbounded operator acting from the space $W_{\vec{p},\vec{X}}$ into the space $W_{\vec{p},\vec{Y}}$, for instance, differential operator, Laplace's operator or its power, may be fractional, for instance:

$$A[u](x,y) = \frac{D^{(\vec{q})}u(x) - D^{(\vec{q})}u(y)}{|x-y|^\beta}, \ x,y \in R^d, \ x \ne y,$$

$$\zeta(G) = \int \int_G \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^{\alpha}}, \ \alpha, \beta = \mathrm{const} \in [0,1], \alpha + \beta p < d, \ G \subset R^{2d},$$

$$\vec{q} = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_d\}, \ q_s = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

$$D^{(\vec{q})} u(x) = \frac{\partial^{q_1}}{\partial x_1^{q_1}} \frac{\partial^{q_2}}{\partial x_2^{q_2}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{q_d}}{\partial x_d^{q_d}} u(x).$$

The norm in this space may be defined as follows (up to closure):

$$|f| W_{\vec{p}}^{A} \stackrel{def}{=} \max \left(|f|_{\vec{p}}, |Af|_{\vec{p}} \right). \tag{5.1}$$

Analogously to the proof of theorems 4.1, 3.2 may be obtained the following results.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $m = \mathrm{const} \geq 1$ be (not necessary to be integer) number for which*

$$\left[\mathbf{E} |\xi(\vec{x})|^{m \ \overline{p}} \right]^{1/(m \ \overline{p})} \in L_{\vec{p}}, \quad \left[\mathbf{E} |A[\xi](\vec{x})|^{m \ \overline{p}} \right]^{1/(m \ \overline{p})} \in L_{\vec{p}}. \tag{5.2}$$

Then

$$\sup_n \left[\mathbf{E} |A[\Sigma_n](\cdot)|_{\vec{p}}^{m \ \overline{p}} \right]^{1/(m \ \overline{p})} \leq K_R(\overline{p} \ m) \times \left| \left[\mathbf{E} |A[\xi](\vec{x})|^{m \ \overline{p}} \right]^{1/(m \overline{p})} \right|_{\vec{p}}, \tag{5.3}$$

Hence the propositions of theorem 2.1, 3.1 remains true in this norm.

B. LIL for dependent r.v. in anisotropic spaces.

We refuse in this section on the assumption about independence of random vectors $\{\xi_k(\cdot)\}$.

Martingale case.

We suppose as before that $\{\xi_k(\cdot)\}$ are mean zero and form a strictly stationary sequence with values in Bochner's (mixed) space $L_{\vec{p}}, \ \overline{p} \geq 2$.

Assume in addition that $\{\xi_k(\cdot)\}$ form a martingale difference sequence relative certain filtration $\{F(k)\}, \ F(0) = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$,

$$\mathbf{E} \xi_k / F(k) = \xi_k, \quad \mathbf{E} \xi_k / F(k-1) = 0, \ k = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Then the propositions of theorems 2.1, 3.1 remains true; the estimate of theorem 3.2 is also true up to multiplicative absolute constant.

Actually, the Law of Iterated Logarithm for one-dimensional martingales see in the classical monograph of Hall P., Heyde C.C. [4], chapter 2; the Rosenthal's constant for the sums of martingale differences with at the same up to multiplicative constant coefficient is obtained by A.Osekowski [14], [15]. See also [17].

Mixingale case.

We suppose again that $\{\xi_k(\cdot)\}$ are mean zero and form a strictly stationary sequence, $\overline{p} \ge 2$. This sequence is said to be *mixingale,* in the terminology of the book [4], if it satisfies this or that mixing condition.

We consider here only the superstrong mixingale. Recall that the superstrong, or $\beta = \beta(F_1, F_2)$ index between two sigma - algebras is defined as follows:

$$\beta(F_1, F_2) = \sup_{A \in F_1, B \in F_2, \mathbf{P}(A)\mathbf{P}(B) > 0} \left| \frac{\mathbf{P}(AB) - \mathbf{P}(A)\mathbf{P}(B)}{\mathbf{P}(A)\mathbf{P}(B)} \right|.$$

Denote

$$F_{-\infty}^0 = \sigma(\xi_s,\ s \le 0), \quad F_n^\infty = \sigma(\xi_s,\ s \ge n), \tag{5.4}$$

$$\beta(n) = \beta\left(F_{-\infty}^0, F_n^\infty\right),$$

The sequence $\{\xi_k\}$ is said to be *superstrong mixingale,* if $\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta(n) = 0$.

This notion with some applications was introduced and investigated by B.S.Nachapetyan and R.Filips [13]. See also [17], [31], p. 84 - 90.

Introduce the so-called mixingale Rosenthal coefficient:

$$K_M(m) = m \ \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta(k)\ (k+1)^{(m-2)/2} \right]^{1/m}, \ m \ge 1. \tag{5.5}$$

B.S.Nachapetyan in [13] proved that for the superstrong centered strong stationary strong mixingale sequence $\{\eta_k\}$ with $K_M(m) < \infty$ the following estimate is true:

$$\sup_{n \ge 1} \left| n^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \eta_k \right|_m \le C \cdot K_M(m) \cdot |\eta_1|_m, \tag{5.6}$$

so that the "constant" $K_M(m)$ play at the same role for mixingale as the Rosenthal constant for independent variables.

As a consequence: theorems 3.1 (and 3.2) remains true for the strong mixingale sequence $\{\xi_k\}$: theorem 3.1 under conditions: $K_M(m\overline{p}) < \infty$, with replacing $K_R(m\ \overline{p})$ on the expression $K_M(m\ \overline{p})$.

References

[1] Adams R.A. *Anisotropic Sobolev Inequalities.* Casopic pro Pestovani Matematiky, (Prague), 1979, No. 3, 267 279.

[2] Benedek A. and Panzone R. *The space L_p with mixed norm.* Duke Math. J., **28**, (1961), 301 - 324.

[3] Besov O.V., Ilin V.P., Nikolskii S.M. *Integral representation of functions and imbedding theorems.* Vol.1; Scripta Series in Math., V.H.Winston and Sons, (1979), New York, Toronto, Ontario, London.

[4] Hall P., Heyde C.C. *Martingale Limit Theory and Applications.* Academic Press, New York. (1980)

[5] Fujita H. and Kato T. *On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 16(1964), 269 315.

[6] Kato T. *Strong L_p solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in R^m with applications to weak solutions.* Math. Zeitschrift, **187,** (1984), 471 480.

[7] Kato T. and Ponce G. *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations.* Comm. P. D. E., 41(1988), 891 - 907.

[8] Kufner A., Oldrich J., and Fucik S. *Function Spaces.* Noordhoff International Publishing,(1977), Academia Publishing House of the Chechoslovak Academy of Science, Prague.

[9] Lions J.L. *Quelques methodes de resolutions des problemes auxlimites non linearies.* Dunod; Gauthier - Villars, Paris, (1969), MR 41.

[10] Lions J.L., Magenes E. *Non - homogeneous boundary value problems and applications.* (1972) V.1, Springer Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, MR 40.

[11] Leoni G. *A first Course in Sobolev Spaces.* Graduate Studies in Mathematics, v. 105, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, (2009).

[12] Lieb E., Loss M. *Analysis.* Providence, Rhode Island, 1997.

[13] Nachapetyan B.S. *On the certain criterion of weak dependence.* Probab. Theory Appl., (1980), **2,** V. 26, 374 - 381.

[14] Osekowski A. *Inequalities for dominated martingales.* Bernoulli 13 (2007), 54-79.

[15] Osekowski A. *Sharp martingale and semimartingale inequalities.* Monografie Matematyczne 72, Birkhauser, 2012.

[16] Ostrovsky E.I. (1999). *Exponential estimations for random Fields and its Applications, (in Russian).* Moscow - Obninsk, OINPE.

[17] Ostrovsky E. and Sirota L. *Moment and tail estimates for martingales and martingale transform, with application to the martingale limit theorem in Banach spaces.* arXiv:1206.4964v1 [math.PR] 21 Jun 2012

[18] Ostrovsky E. and Sirota L. *Schlömilch and Bell series for Bessel's functions, with probabilistic applications.* arXiv:0804.0089v1 [math.CV] 1 Apr 2008

[19] Ostrovsky E. and Sirota L. *Simplification of the majorizing measure method, with development.* arXiv:1302.3202v1 [math.PR] 13 Feb 2013

[20] Ostrovsky E. and Rogover E. *Exact exponential Bounds for the random Field Maximum Distribution via the Majorizing Measures (Generic Chaining.)* arXiv:0802.0349v1 [math.PR] 4 Feb 2008

[21] Taylor M.E. *Partial Differential Equations III. Non-linear Equations.* Applied Math. Sciencies, 117, Springer, (1996).

[22] Araujo A., Gine E. *The central limit theorem for real and Banach valued random variables.* Wiley, (1980), London, New York.

[23] Bednorz W. (2006). *A theorem on Majorizing Measures.* Ann. Probab., 34, 1771-1781. MR1825156.

[24] Bednorz W. *The majorizing measure approach to the sample boundedness.* arXiv:1211.3898v1 [math.PR] 16 Nov 2012

[25] Billingsley P. *Probability and measure.* Wiley, 1979, London, New York.

[26] Billingsley P. *Convergence of probability measures.* Wiley, (1968), London, New York.

[27] Dudley R.M. *Uniform Central Limit Theorem.* Cambridge University Press, (1999)

[28] Fernique X. *Regularite de fonctions aleatoires non gaussiennes.* Ecolee de Ete de Probabilits de Saint-Flour XI-1981. Lecture Notes in Math. 976, (1983), 174, Springer, Berlin.

[29] Grenander U. *Probabilities on algebraic structures.* Wiley, 1963; London, New York.

[30] Ledoux M., Talagrand M. (1991) *Probability in Banach Spaces.* Springer, Berlin, MR 1102015.

[31] Ostrovsky E.I. (1999). *Exponential estimations for random Fields and its applications (in Russian).* Moscow - Obninsk, OINPE.

[32] Fortet R. and Mourier E. *Les fonctions alratoires comme elements aleatoires dans les espaces de Banach.* Studia Math., **15**, (1955), 62-79.

[33] Garling D.J.H. *Functional Central Limit Theorems in Banach Spaces.* The Annals of Probability, Vol. 4, No. 4 (Aug., 1976), pp. 600-611

[34] Gine E. *On the Central Limit theorem for sample continuous processes.* Ann. Probab. (1974), 2, 629-641.

[35] Gine E., Zinn J. *Central Limit Theorem and Weak Laws of Large Numbers in certain Banach Spaces.* Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheory verw. Gebiete. **62**, (1983), 323 - 354.

[36] Heinkel B. Measures majorantes et le theoreme de la limite centrale dans $C(S)$. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheory. verw. Geb., (1977). 38, 339-351.

[37] Jain N.C. and Marcus M.B. *Central limit theorem for $C(S)$ valued random variables.* J. of Funct. Anal., (1975), 19, 216-231.

[38] Kozachenko Yu. V., Ostrovsky E.I. (1985). *The Banach Spaces of random Variables of subgaussian type.* Theory of Probab. and Math. Stat. (in Russian). Kiev, KSU, **32**, 43 - 57.

[39] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *CLT for continuous random processes under approximations terms.* arXiv:1304.0250v1 [math.PR] 31 Mar 2013

[40] Pisier G., Zinn J. *On the limit theorems for random variables with values in the spaces L_p, $2 \leq p < \infty$.* Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete 41, 289 - 304, (1978).

[41] Pisier G. *Martingales with values in uniformly convex spaces.* Israel J. Math. 20 (1975), 326 -350.

[42] Pisier G. *On the duality between type and cotype. Martingale theory in harmonic analysis and Banach spaces.* (Cleveland, Ohio, 1981), pp. 131 - 144, Lecture Notes in Math. 939, Springer, Berlin, 1982.

[43] Rackauskas A, Suquet Ch. *Central limit theorems in Hölder topologies for Banach space valued random fields.* Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen., 2004, Volume 49, Issue 1, Pages 109 125 (in Russian).

[44] Rhee Wan Soo and Talagrand M. *Uniform bound in the central limit theorem for Banach space valued dependent random variables*, Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 1986, vol. 20, issue 2, pages 303-320

[45] Song L. *A counterexample in the Central Limit Theorem.* Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, Volume 31, Issue 02, March 1999, pp 222 - 230

[46] Sualb Z. *Central limit theorems for random processes with sample paths in exponential Orlicz spaces.* Stochastic Processes and their Applications 66, (1997), l-20.

[47] Zinn J. *A Note on the Central Limit Theorem in Banach Spaces.* Ann. Probab. Volume 5, Number 2 (1977), 283 - 286.

[48] Frolov A.S., Tchentzov N.N. *On the calculation by the Monte-Carlo method definite integrals depending on the parameters.* Journal of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, (1962), V. 2, Issue 4, p. 714-718 (in Russian).

[49] Grigorjeva M.L., Ostrovsky E.I. *Calculation of Integrals on discontinuous Functions by means of depending trials method.* Journal of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, (1996), V. 36, Issue 12, p. 28-39 (in Russian).

[50] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Monte-Carlo method for multiple parametric integrals calculation and solving of linear integral Fredholm equations of a second kind, with confidence regions in uniform norm.* arXiv:1101.5381v1 [math.FA] 27 Jan 2011

[51] Ostrovsky E., Rogover E. *Non - asymptotic exponential bounds for MLE deviation under minimal conditions via classical and generic chaining methods.* arXiv:0903.4062v1 [math.PR] 24 Mar 2009

[52] Talay D. *Probabilistic Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations. Elements of Analysis.* In: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, C.Gracham, Th. G.Kurtz, S.Meelard, Ph. E.Protter, M.Pulvirenti, D.Talay. Montecatini Terme, (1995), p. 148 - 106, Springer. Editors: D.Talay, L.Tubago.

[53] Talagrand M. (1996). *Majorizing measure: The generic chaining.* Ann. Probab., 24 1049 - 1103. MR1825156

[54] Talagrand M. (2005). *The Generic Chaining. Upper and Lower Bounds of Stochastic Processes.* Springer, Berlin. MR2133757.

[55] Talagrand M. (1990). *Sample boundedness of stochastic processes under increment conditions.* Ann. Probab. 18, 1 - 49.

[56] De Acosta Alejandro, Kuelbs J. and Ledoux M. *An inequality for the law of iterated logarithm.* In: Probability in Banach Spaces, **4;** Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **990**, (1983), 1 - 29, Springer, Berlin - Hridelberg.

[57] Berger E. *Majorization.* The Annales of Probability, **19**, (1991), 1206 - 1226.

[58] Einmal1 U. *On the almost sure behavior of sums of IID random Variables in Hilbert Space.* The Annales of Probability, **19**, (1991), 1227 - 1263.

[59] Einmal1 U. *Tovard a general Law of Iterated Logarithm in Banach Space.* The Annales of Probability, **21**, (1993), 2012 - 2045.

[60] Ledoux M., Talagrand M. *Characterization of LIL in Bahach Space.* The Annales of Probability, **16**, (1988), 1242 - 1264.

[61] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Central Limit Theorem and exponential tail estimations in mixed (anisotropic) Lebesgue spaces.* arXiv:1308.5606v1 [math.PR] 26 Aug 2013

[62] Ostrovsky E. *Exponential estimate in the Law of Iterated Logarithm in Banach space.* Mathematics Notes, (1994), V. 56 Issue 5, 98 - 107.

[63] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Central Limit Theorem anf exponential tail estimates in hybrid Lebesgue - continuous spaces.* arXiv:1309.2344v1 [math.PR] 9 Sep 2013

[64] Ostrovsky E., Rogover E. *Maximal inequalities in bilateral Grand Lebesgue Spaces.* arXiv:0808.3247v1 [math.FA] 24 Aug 2008

[65] Xia Chen. *On the Law of Iterated Logarithm for independent Banach Space valued random Variables.* The Annales of Probability, **21**, (1993), 1991 - 2011.